ACS APPLIED BIO MATERIALS

www.acsabm.org

A Cell Membrane Fluorogenic Probe for Gram-Positive Bacteria Imaging and Real-Time Tracking of Bacterial Viability

³ Weiwei Liu,[†] Ruihua Li,[†] Fei Deng, Chunyu Yan, Xuelian Zhou, Lu Miao,* Xiaolian Li,* ⁴ and Zhaochao Xu*



17 bacteria cell walls to achieve surface fluorescence imaging. There were no such interactions with Gram-negative bacteria, which 18 indicates that selective binding and imaging of Gram-positive bacteria was achieved. The binding of zinc ions by ZTRS-BP can 19 enhance the fluorescent signals on the bacterial surface by inhibiting the process of photoinduced electron transfer. ZTRS-BP-20 Zn(II) complex was an excellent dye to discriminate mixed Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Also, live and dead bacteria 21 can be differentially imaged by ZTRS-BP-Zn(II). Furthermore, ZTRS-BP-Zn(II) was used for real-time monitoring bacteria 22 viability such as *B. cereus* treated with antibiotic vancomycin.

23 KEYWORDS: fluorogenic probe, Gram-positive bacteria, fluorescent imaging, real-time tracking, bacterial viability

1. INTRODUCTION

24 The increase in pathogen infections in clinical practice has 25 become a public health issue that has caused global concern.¹ 26 Pathogenic bacteria are the main cause of human disease and 27 death. For example, Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus cereus, and 28 Mycobacterium tuberculosis may cause various diseases includ-29 ing infective endocarditis, meningitis, food poisoning, tuber-30 culosis (TB), etc.^{2–4} Every year, nearly one million people die 31 from diseases arising from bacterial infections. Moreover, the 32 emergence and wide spread of drug-resistant bacteria 33 (including multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria) has promoted 34 the threat of bacterial infection to public health.^{5,6} According 35 to the World Health Organization, in 2018, there were about 36 half a million new cases of rifampicin-resistant TB, of which 37 78% were MDR TB; these accounted for 21.4% of the total TB 38 cases.⁷ Quick and accurate infection diagnosis is thus very 39 important for clinical treatment and environmental protection. Fluorescence probes have emerged as a useful tool for the 40 41 investigation of pathogenic bacteria because of their high 42 sensitivity, fast response speed, and ability to visually image 43 and dynamically track bacteria.^{8–13} A prominent application is 44 to monitor bacterial viability, which is crucial in determining 45 the safety of food and drinking water.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ The usual bacterial

detection relies on the identification of genes, marker proteins, 46 or enzymes.^{17–19} However, because of the excessive abuse of 47 antibiotics, the problem of bacterial multidrug resistance has 48 become more and more serious.^{20,21} Bacterial detection that 49 relies on markers often cannot reflect the changes of bacteria 50 after adapting to drug resistance. The pathogenicity of bacteria 51 is usually related to the composition of the cell walls, which are 52 heterogeneous in composition and dynamic changes, including 53 the types and ratios of membrane phospholipids and 54 membrane proteins, and the charges on the surface of different 55 bacteria.^{22,23} Thus, the cell walls play a role in the fingerprint of 56 the bacteria, and the bacteria can be discriminated by 57 identifying the cell walls of the bacteria.

Fluorescence imaging techniques have facilitated fluorescent 59 probes to monitor the subcellular localization and dynamics of 60 bacteria cell walls.^{24–31} For example, the surfaces of anaerobe 61

Special Issue: Biospecies Sensors

Received:	September 30, 2020
Accepted:	October 29, 2020





Figure 1. Schematic illustration of wash-free and selective imaging of Gram-positive bacteria by the fluorogenic probe ZTRS-BP.

62 Bacteroides fragilis were modified with azide-containing sugars 63 and then fluorescently labeled by a cyclooctyne-containing 64 fluorophore via bio-orthogonal click chemistry.³² These 65 location-unspecific or unbound probes can produce back-66 ground interference. To address this issue, fluorogenic probes 67 based on azido Si-rhodamine have been developed via 68 biocompatible copper-free click chemistry for the no-wash 69 visualization of bacterial peptidoglycan (PG), which are 70 initially fluorescence-silent but activated upon target recog-71 nition with specific fluorescence responses.³³ However, these 72 probes cannot be used in intact bacterial cells, because they 73 depended on bioorthogonal click chemistry to locate small 74 molecular probes in bacterial surfaces. We recently reported an 75 imidazolium-derived pyrene compound as a fluorogenic probe 76 for bacteria cell wall imaging.³⁴ Unfortunately, the UV 77 excitation of this probe limited its application.

In this paper, we reported a Gram-positive bacteria selective 78 79 probe ZTRS-BP for bacteria cell wall fluorogenic imaging 80 using the aggregation-disaggregation strategy to achieve the 81 membrane-specific fluorogenicity. In our previous work, we ⁸² reported the naphthalimide-derived compound **ZTRS** acted as ⁸³ an environment-selective probe.^{35–37} Here, a hydrophobic 84 alkyl chain as the membrane-anchored domain was introduced 85 to get the probe ZTRS-BP. As shown in Figure 1, probe 86 ZTRS-BP aggregated in aqueous solutions and exhibited 87 aggregation-caused fluorescence quenching (ACQ). The 88 interaction with Gram-positive bacteria cell walls would 89 selectively disaggregate the probe and the liberated probes 90 were dispersed on the outside of the bacteria cell walls to 91 achieve surface fluorescence imaging. There were no such 92 interactions with Gram-negative bacteria, which achieved 93 selective binding and imaging of Gram-positive bacteria. The 94 binding of zinc ions can enhance the fluorescent signals on the 95 bacterial surface by inhibiting the process of photoinduced

f1

electron transfer. Aggregates that do not bind to the bacteria 96 cell walls still exhibit aggregation-induced quenched fluo- 97 rescence even after complex with zinc ions to achieve the 98 fluorogenicity. It was worth noting that this aggregation- 99 disaggregation strategy allowed the probe to label only on the 100 surface of live bacteria, but can enter the content of dead 101 bacteria, thereby achieving selective identification and imaging 102 of live and dead bacteria. These properties make the probe a 103 useful tool for real-time tracking of bacterial viability. 104

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Materials and Methods. Unless otherwise stated, all 105 reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without 106 further purification. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on 107 Bruker 400 spectrometer, where the chemical shift is based on 108 tetramethyl silicon. The fluorescence spectrum is from Agilent CARY 109 Eclipse spectrophotometer; the ultraviolet absorption spectrum is 110 from Agilent CARY 60 UV–vis. The nano laser particle size analyzer 111 is Malvern. The fluorescence confocal microscope is Olympus 112 FV1000, 100 times oil lens (NA = 1.40).

Bacillus cereus (B. cereus, 1.1626) was purchased from China 114 General Microbiological Culture Collection Center (CGMCC). 115 Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus, ATCC 25923), Klebsiella pneumoniae 116 (K. pneumoniae), and Escherichia coli (E. coli ATCC 25922) were 117 obtained from the Second Hospital of Dalian Medical University 118

2.2. Optical Detection. ZTRS-BP was dissolved in chromato- 119 graphically pure dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to prepare a 2 mM 120 solution for further detection. The UV–vis absorption and 121 fluorescence spectra of **ZTRS-BP** and **ZTRS-BP**-Zn²⁺ (final 122 concentration of 5 μ M) in HEPES buffer solution (20 mM, pH 123 7.4) were examined. Surfactant SDS was dissolved in water to prepare 124 a 20 mM solution. The fluorescence spectra of **ZTRS-BP** in HEPES 125 buffer solution (20 mM, pH 7.4) with different concentrations of SDS 126 was tested, which was excited by 365 nm light.

2.3. Bacteria Culture. The single spot on the solid LB medium 128 with bacteria was transferred to 5 mL of LB medium and cultured in a 129



Figure 2. Photophysical properties of **ZTRS-BP**. (a) DLS analysis of **ZTRS–BP** (5 μ M). (b) SEM image of **ZTRS–BP** in HEPES. (c) Fluorescence and (d) absorption spectra of **ZTRS-BP** in HEPES and ACN with or without Zn²⁺.

¹³⁰ shaker at 37 °C until the bacteria optical density at 600 nm (OD 600) ¹³¹ was 1.0. The bacteria were transferred to a 1.5 mL EP tube and ¹³² centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 2 min. The bacterial pellets were then ¹³³ suspended in HEPES (20 mM, pH 7.4) and adjusted the OD₆₀₀ value ¹³⁴ to 0.5. For spectrum detection, the bacteria and probe were mixed in ¹³⁵ HEPES buffer with or without the addition of $Zn(ClO_4)_2$ at a certain ¹³⁶ concentration. Before testing, the mixtures were stay at room ¹³⁷ temperature for 3 min. The fluorescence spectrum were obtained ¹³⁸ with the excited wavelength of 365 nm.

2.4. Bacteria Imaging. The bacteria culture process was as above description. The bacteria and probe were mixed in HEPES buffer with ratio or without the addition of $Zn(ClO_4)_2$ at a certain concentration, which was incubated at room temperature for 10 min. For the imaging as experiment with washing step, the mixture was washed one time and the resuspend with HEPES buffer (20 mM, pH 7.4). For the washout the experiment, this step is omitted. To take confocal images, we dropped the 1.5 μ L of the mixed solution on a confocal imaging dish and covered tri with a thin layer of agarose gel. The image was collected using a 148 100× oil lens on an Olympus laser scanning confocal microscope tay (FV1000) under the conditions of excitation, 405 nm; emission range, 150 425–525 nm.

2.5. Live and Dead Bacteria Imaging. Imaging of live bacteria B. cereus was performed as above. For imaging of dead bacteria of *B*. Is cereus, the live bacteria were resuspended in 70% isopropanol and the shaken with 200 rpm at 28 °C for 1 h to obtain dead bacteria. The bacteria were washed twice and then resuspended with HEPES buffer (20 mM, pH 7.4). The mixture of live and dead bacteria was to obtained by mixing the live and dead bacteria of *B. cereus* in equal min under dark conditions. After washing for one time, **ZTRS-BP** and 160 $Zn(ClO_4)_2$ was added to a final concentration of 5 μ M, respectively. 161 After incubating for 5 min, 1.5 μ L of the mixed solution was dropped on a dish and covered with a thin layer agarose gel, and then observed 162 using confocal microscope under the conditions of excitation, 405 163 nm; emission range, 425–525 nm; excitation, 543 nm; emission 164 range, 560–650 nm. 165

2.6. Vancomycin Sterilization and Fluorescence Monitor- 166 ing. The bacteria culture process was the same as the above 167 description. The bacterial pellets was resuspended in HEPES (20 168 mM, pH 7.4) and the OD₆₀₀ value was adjusted to 0.5. Vancomycin 169 (Final concentration was 5 μ M) was then added to the bacterial 170 solution. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 1 h. 171 Then ZTRS-BP and Zn(ClO₄)₂ was added to a final concentration of 172 5 μ M, respectively. After incubating for 10 min, 2 μ L of the mixed 173 solution was dropped on a dish and covered with a thin layer agarose 174 gel, and then observed with a confocal microscope under the 175 condition: Excitation: 405 nm, Emission range: 425–525 nm. 176

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Photophysical Properties of ZTRS-BP. The syn- 177 thesis route of **ZTRS-BP** is shown in Figure S1. The 178 aggregation property of **ZTRS-BP** was investigated by means 179 of dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements and scanning 180 electron microscopy (SEM) (Figure 2a, b). DLS and SEM 181 f2 analysis showed that **ZTRS-BP** aggregated in HEPES to form 182 nanoparticles with the mean diameter of 80 nm (Figure 2a, b). 183 The addition of Zn^{2+} cannot dissolved the aggregate as shown 184 in Figure 2a, even **ZTRS** binding zinc ion with high affinity, 185 and the size of nanoparticle was still around 80 nm. But when 186 the probe incubated with Zn^{2+} in polar solvent acetonitrile 187 (ACN), there were three peeks found during DLS experi-188 ments, 1 nm (12% intensity), 5 nm (6% intensity), and 70 nm 189

www.acsabm.org



Figure 3. (a) Fluorescence spectra of 5 μ M ZTRS-BP sensing to Gram-positive bacteria *B. cereus* and Gram-negative bacteria *E. coli*, with or without Zn²⁺ (5 μ M) in HEPES (10 mM, pH 7.4) solution. (b) Visible emissions of ZTRS-BP with *B. cereus* and *E. coli* in HEPES under UV irradiation.

190 (4% intensity), respectively. So, with the addition of zinc ions, 191 the size of ZTRS-BP nanoparticles changed from 80 to 1 nm, 192 ascribed to the disassembly of ZTRS-BP in ACN by zinc binding. We tested the fluorescent spectra of ZTRS-BP with 193 194 the addition of different concentrations of SDS (Figure S2), 195 which was a anionic surfactants to be expected to disassemble 196 the aggregate. We found that the fluorescence of ZTRS-BP did not change, even the concentration of SDS increased to 4 mM. 197 These results indicated that the aggregates of ZTRS-BP were 198 structurally stable in aqueous solutions, but were easily 199 decomposed when encountered in a fat-soluble environment. 2.00 This property allowed ZTRS-BP to have excellent fluoroge-2.01 nicity when staining bacteria, that is, the hydrophobic 202 interaction with the Gram-positive bacteria made the ZTRS-203 BP aggregates easy to decompose and further stained the 204 surface of the bacteria, while keeping the aggregate structure 205 stable with quenched fluorescence in other environments. 2.06

To further test the stability and fluorogenicity of ZTRS-BP, 207 we examined its fluorescence and absorption responses to Zn²⁺ 208 in HEPES and ACN. As shown in Figure 2c, due to the 209 210 stability, the probe displayed aggregation-quenched fluorescence even with the addition of zinc in aqueous solution. In 211 acetonitrile with the addition of zinc ions, the absorption and 212 emission all shifted to short wavelengths with an obvious 213 increase in fluorescence, indicating that the compound bound 2.14 215 zinc ions in an amide tautomeric form and disassembled the aggregates. 216

3.2. Selective Recognition of Bacteria. Bacterial cell 217 218 walls are composed of phospholipid bilayer and peptidoglycan, but the cell wall structures of Gram-positive and Gram-219 220 negative bacteria are indeed completely different. In general, Gram-positive bacteria contain a single cell membrane 221 surrounded by a thick layer of peptidoglycan, whereas Gram-222 negative bacterial surface contains a thin layer of peptidoglycan 223 sandwiched between two layers of phospholipids. Considering 224 that the bacterial cell wall has some hydrophobic properties, 225 the probe may recognize bacteria by being disaggregated and 226 emitting fluorescence after interacting with the bacteria. The 2.2.7 fluorescence spectra of the probe to different bacteria were 228 then recorded. There was an increase in fluorescence of the probe with the addition of Gram-positive bacteria, such as 230 231 Bacillus cereus (B. cereus) (Figure 3a). And the addition of the 232 zinc ions further enhanced the fluorescence response signal. 233 The fluorescence spectra of the probe with Gram-negative 234 bacteria such as Escherichia coli (E. coli) displayed blue-shifted

emission, indicating that the weak polar environments near the 235 surface of the Gram-negative bacteria made the fluorescence 236 emission wavelength of **ZTRS-BP** blue shift. But the 237 fluorescent intensity of the probe did not change with the 238 addition of *E. coli*, even with the addition of zinc ions. These 239 results indicated that the probe can selectively be disassembled 240 by Gram-positive bacteria but not by Gram-negative bacteria. 241 We may conclude that only the hydrophobicity of the bacteria 242 cell walls was not enough to disassemble the aggregates. Gram- 243 positive bacteria and Gram-negative bacteria can be visually 244 distinguished by naked eyes (Figure 3b). The probe with *B*. 245 *cereus* and *E. coli* showed strong and blue fluorescence ($\lambda_{em} = 246$ 450 nm in Figure 1a), respectively, whereas the probe itself 247 displayed green emission ($\lambda_{em} = 500$ nm in Figure 1a). 248

3.3. Wash-Free Fluorescent Imaging of Bacteria. The 249 wash-free imaging of bacteria can simplify the staining protocol 250 and be favorable for bacteria dynamics imaging in situ. Because 251 of the unique enhanced emission responses of ZTRS-BP to 252 bacteria sensing, we anticipated that the probe could have 253 excellent Gram-positive bacteria imaging performance without 254 the washing step. To verify this, we carried out bacterial 255 imaging experiments by incubating Gram-positive bacteria B. 256 cereus with 5 μ M ZTRS-BP with or without the addition of 257 Zn²⁺. And the fluorescent imaging was directly performed 258 without subsequent washing steps. As shown in Figure 4, it is 259 f4 clearly shown that ZTRS-BP stained the cell walls of B. cereus 260 whether zinc ions were present or not. Also, B. cereus can be 261 clearly visualized with great signal-to-noise ratio. To visually 262 present the fluorescent intensity value, we obtained the in situ 263 fluorescent intensity spectra along the red lines in Figure 4b, e 264 to display in Figure 4c, f, respectively. Line 1 in Figure 4c 265 indicated that the fluorescence intensity on the cell wall (~900 266 au) was 4 times higher than that outside (\sim 200 au), and nearly 267 2 times higher than that inside the bacteria (~500 au). 268 Meanwhile, it is worth noting that the intensity on the cell 269 walls of the bacteria incubated with zinc ions (~1300-1600 270 au) were 7 times stronger than that outside (\sim 200 au), and $_{271}$ nearly 3 times than that inside the bacteria (~450 au). The 272 results indicated that the wash-free fluorescent images of 273 bacteria incubated with both ZTRS-BP and zinc ions had a 274 higher signal-to-noise ratio. Moreover, B. cereus were clearly 275 visualized with great signal-to-noise ratio regardless of washing 276 or not washing the bacteria after staining as shown in Figure 277 S3. Above all, the fluorescent imaging results demonstrated 278 that the aggregated probe efficiently illuminated B. cereus. This 279



Figure 4. Confocal fluorescent imaging of bacteria loaded with **ZTRS-BP.** (a, b) Fluorescence imaging of *B. cereus* stained with 5 μ M **ZTRS-BP** for 10 min in HEPES (10 mM, pH 7.4); (c) fluorescence intensity in situ of the lines in b; (d, e) fluorescence imaging of *B. cereus* stained with 5 μ M **ZTRS-BP** and 5 μ M Zn²⁺ for 10 min in HEPES; (f) fluorescence intensity in situ of the lines in e; (g, h) fluorescence imaging of *E. coli* stained with 5 μ M **ZTRS-BP** and 5 μ M Zn²⁺ for 10 min in HEPES; (i) in situ fluorescence intensity of the line in h; a, d, and g are merged imaging of bright-field and fluorescence imaging; Ex: 405 nm; scale bar: 5 μ m.

280 fluorogenic probe based on the aggregation-disaggregation 281 strategy well realized the no-wash imaging of Gram-positive 282 bacteria.

Furthermore, we also observed the division site of B. cereus 283 284 indicated by brighter fluorescence (Figure 4b, e). The fluorescent intensity at division sites (~2000 au, line 2 in 285 Figure 4c, f) were higher than that on the sidewall, existing 286 excellent signal-to-noise ratio (10 times) to the background. 2.87 Early models of bacterial cell growth held that new 288 peptidoglycan (PG) was made and incorporated at midcell 289 during the time of cell division.³⁸ In previous reports, 290 fluorescent probes conjugated with the antibiotics vancomycin 291 292 or ramoplanin were demonstrated to stain the Gram-positive ²⁹³ bacteria by targeting PG.^{26,39} Because of the similar imaging 294 results, we believed that our probe ZTRS-BP also stained the 295 PG layer of the Gram-positive bacteria. After all, ZTRS-BP-

Zn(II) complex works as an excellent fluorogenic probe to 296 label *B. cereus* cell wall. 297

3.4. Selective Fluorescence Imaging of Gram-Positive ²⁹⁸ **Bacteria.** The fluorescent imaging of **ZTRS-BP-Zn(II)** to ²⁹⁹ Gram-negative bacteria *E. coli* was further detected, as shown ³⁰⁰ in Figure 4g—i. The emission with *E. coli* was barely observed, ³⁰¹ which were consistent with the fluorescence response of the ³⁰² probe in the presence of bacteria in solutions (Figure 3). So, ³⁰³ the probe has an excellent staining-specificity toward *B. cereus.* ³⁰⁴ We also imaged other Gram-positive bacteria such as ³⁰⁵ *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) and Gram-negative bacteria ³⁰⁶ such as *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (*K. pneumoniae*) to examine the ³⁰⁷ selectivity of the probe. As shown in Figure S4, *S. aureus* were ³⁰⁸ well labeled by the probe to clearly display the fluorescence on ³⁰⁹ the cell walls. On the contrary, there was no fluorescence ³¹⁰ detected in the presence of *K. pneumoniae*. ³¹¹ To further verify the capability of **ZTRS-BP-Zn(II)** to recognize Gram-positive bacteria over Gram-negative bacteria, we cocultured *B. cereus* and *E. coli* in the same dish and stained with both **ZTRS-BP-Zn(II)** ($5 \mu M$) and commercial bacteria cell wall dye **FM4–64** ($6 \mu M$) (Figure 5). It was found that *B.*



Figure 5. Confocal fluorescence imaging of *B. cereus* and *E. coli* mixture loaded with **ZTRS-BP-Zn(II)** and commercial dye FM4–64. (a) Fluorescent image when excited at 405 nm; (b) fluorescent image when excited at 543 nm; (c) merged image of a and b; (d) bright-field image; scale bar is 5 μ m.

317 cereus with a rodlike shape were selectively "lit-up" by ZTRS-318 BP-Zn(II), whereas almost no ZTRS-BP-Zn(II) fluorescent 319 signal was observed from *E. coli* (Figure 5a). FM4-64 can 320 stain both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (Figure 321 Sb). The merged imaging in Figure 5c showed that, on the *B.* 322 cereus cell wall, there was an alternating fluorescent signals 323 between ZTRS-BP-Zn(II) and FM4-64. This may be due to 324 the different binding ability of these two dyes to the cell wall. And the binding capability of ZTRS-BP-Zn(II) may be higher 325 than that of FM4-64, because most of the B. cereus were 326 brightly stained with ZTRS-BP-Zn(II), but not FM4-64. 327 These results demonstrated the excellent performance of 328 ZTRS-BP-Zn(II) for accurate identification of Gram-positive 329 bacteria through fluorescent imaging technique. The significant 330 structural difference of outer envelopes between Gram-positive 331 and Gram-negative bacteria may be the reason for the effective 332 identification by ZTRS-BP-Zn(II). As mentioned above, we 333 hypothesized that the probe was bound to the PG of Gram- 334 positive bacteria. However, the outer cell layer of Gram- 335 negative bacteria was composed of the phospholipid bilayer, 336 and PG was on the inner side of the bilayer, which may be the 337 reason why the probe was unable to label Gram-negative 338 bacteria. According to the results above, ZTRS-BP-Zn(II) 339 complex was probed to be an excellent dye for wash-free 340 Gram-positive bacteria imaging.

3.5. Fluorescence Imaging of Live/Dead Gram- 342 Positive Bacteria. The detection of bacterial viability is of 343 great significance for determining the survival status of bacteria 344 and monitoring the bactericidal effects of antibiotics.⁴⁰ 345 Membrane integrity is an accepted biomarker for viable cells, 346 as cells with compromised membrane are approaching death or 347 already dead.⁴¹ So far, two types of fluorescent dye can be used 348 to determine membrane integrity. One is the dyes permeating 349 into intact cells, such as SYTO 9 and Hoechst 33342. Another 350 is the one that can permeate only compromised cells, such as 351 propidium iodide (PI) and SYTOX Green. The detection of 352 bacterial viability usually uses dual-staining kits such as Live/ 353 Dead BacLight Bacterial Viability kit, which contains SYTO 9 354 and PI fluorescence dyes. The disadvantage of this method is 355 that two dyes must be used at the same time and the dye 356 SYTO 9 is very expensive. 357



Figure 6. Confocal fluorescence imaging of (a) live, (b) dead, and (c) live/dead mixture of *B. cereus* with **ZTRS-BP-Zn(II)** and commercial dye PI. The first column is the image when excited at 405 nm; the second column is the image when excited at 543 nm; the third column is the merged image; the fourth column is the bright-field image; scale bar is 5 μ m.

F

f5

430

435

436

451

On the basis of the excellent fluorescent imaging property of 358 359 ZTRS-BP-Zn(II) to Gram-positive bacteria, we then further 360 investigated whether ZTRS-BP-Zn(II) could show different 361 labeling effects on live and dead Gram-positive bacteria. The 362 live B. cereus bacteria and the dead B. cereus bacteria obtained 363 by treating with 70% isopropanol were incubated with ZTRS-364 BP-Zn(II), respectively. We also added the commercial red 365 dye PI, which can only enter the bacteria with compromised 366 cell membranes and bind to DNA. The fluorescent imaging 367 was subsequently obtained without washing steps. As shown in 368 Figure 6a, ZTRS-BP-Zn(II) was labeled on the cell wall of B. 369 cereus, and there was almost no fluorescence of PI, indicating 370 the survival status of the bacteria. Meanwhile, both of the dyes entered into isopropanol-treated B. cereus (Figure 6b). And the 371 dead B. cereus can be clearly visualized with great signal-to-372 373 noise ratio. Significantly, the merged imaging in Figure 6b 374 indicated that every single bacterium was stained by ZTRS-375 BP-Zn (II) as well as the probe may bind to DNA of dead 376 bacterial. We then mixed the live and dead B. cereus in equal 377 amounts, and then the mixed bacteria were incubated with 378 ZTRS-BP-Zn(II) and imaged immediately. As shown in 379 Figure 6c, we can clearly see that ZTRS-BP-Zn(II) can bind 380 on a certain amount of bacteria cell wall (pointed by white 381 arrows) as well as PI cannot. This B. cereus must be live 382 bacteria. Meanwhile, numbers of B. cereus were labeled by both 383 ZTRS-BP-Zn (II) and PI dyes inside the bacteria, which must 384 be dead ones. These results confirmed that the unique dye, 385 ZTRS-BP-Zn(II), had the ability to differentially image both 386 live and dead bacteria in real time, whereas most other dyes only stained live or dead bacteria. 387

3.6. Real-Time Tracking of Bacteria Viability. After 388 389 confirming that the ZTRS-BP-Zn(II) molecule had different 390 labeling abilities against live and dead bacteria, we subsequently applied this probe to real-time track bacterial 391 viability by imaging B. cereus incubated with antibiotic. 392 vancomycin is a clinically special antibacterial drug. It has a 393 particularly powerful bactericidal effect on Gram-positive 394 bacteria. We added vancomycin to the Gram-positive bacteria 395 396 B. cereus, incubated for 1 h, and then treated the bacteria with 397 ZTRS-BP-Zn(II) and imaged it immediately. As shown in 398 Figure 7, most of the bacterial cell walls were intact and clearly 399 marked by the probe, whereas two bacterium were greatly 400 strained inside the bacteria (pointed by white arrows). The 401 reason was that vancomycin compromised B. cereus cell wall 402 and the dyes entered into the bacteria. This proves that ZTRS-403 BP-Zn(II) can real-time track antibiotic-interacted Gram-



Figure 7. Confocal fluorescent imaging of vancomycin-incubated *B. cereus* with **ZTRS-BP-Zn(II)**. (a) Fluorescence image when excited at 405 nm, the arrows point to the dead bacteria killed by vancomycin; (b) merged imaging of a and bright field; scale bar: $10 \ \mu$ m.

positive bacteria viability. The performance of the probe makes 404 it a powerful tool for real-time tracking bacterial viability for 405 rapid detection of drug sensitivity. 406

4. CONCLUSION

In summary, we developed a fluorogenic probe ZTRS-BP for 407 wash-free fluorescent imaging of Gram-positive bacteria. The 408 probe was constructed by linking a hydrophobic alkyl chains to 409 environment-sensitive probe ZTRS. The fluorogenicity was 410 derived from aggregation-caused fluorescence quenching 411 (ACQ) effect of the probe in the aqueous solution. The 412 aggregate can be disassembled by Gram-positive bacteria and 413 emitted fluorescence. The bacterial cell walls were "lit-up" by 414 strong interactions with the dispersed probe. Furthermore, the 415 binding of zinc ions (ZTRS-BP-Zn(II)) can enhance the 416 fluorescent signal on the bacterial surface by inhibiting the 417 process of photoinduced electron transfer. Benefiting from its 418 excellent wash-free fluorescent imaging feature for Gram- 419 positive bacteria, ZTRS-BP-Zn(II) can either rapidly discrim- 420 inate Gram-positive bacteria over Gram-negative bacteria or 421 real-time monitor live and dead Gram-positive bacteria 422 through a fluorescence imaging technique. The performance 423 of the probe makes it a powerful tool for real-time tracking 424 bacterial viability for rapid detection of drug sensitivity, which 425 indicates its potential application value in the fields of safety of 426 food and drinking water and environmental and medical 427 microbiology. 428

ASSOCIATED CONTENT 429

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at 431 https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsabm.0c01269. 432

Synthesis detail of the probe and additional figures 433 (PDF) 434

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- Lu Miao CAS Key Laboratory of Separation Science for
Analytical Chemistry, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, China;
Email: miaolu@dicp.ac.cn437
438
- Xiaolian Li State Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals, Dalian 441 University of Technology, Dalian 116012, China; 442 Email: xlianlid@163.com 443
- Zhaochao Xu − State Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals and 444 Zhang Dayu Schoole of Chemistry, Dalian University of 445 Technology, Dalian 116012, China; CAS Key Laboratory of 446 Separation Science for Analytical Chemistry, Dalian Institute 447 of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 448 116023, China; orcid.org/0000-0002-2491-8938; 449 Email: zcxu@dicp.ac.cn 450

Authors

- Weiwei Liu State Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals, Dalian 452University of Technology, Dalian 116012, China; CAS KeyLaboratory of Separation Science for Analytical Chemistry,Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy ofSciences, Dalian 116023, China456
- Ruihua Li The Second Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical 457 University, Dalian 116023, China 458
- Fei Deng CAS Key Laboratory of Separation Science for Analytical Chemistry, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, 460

- Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, China; School 461
- of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Jinggangshan 462
- University, Ji'an, Jiangxi 343009, China 463
- Chunyu Yan CAS Key Laboratory of Separation Science for 464 Analytical Chemistry, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, 465
- Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, China; Zhang 466
- 467 Dayu Schoole of Chemistry, Dalian University of Technology, 468 Dalian 116012, China
- Xuelian Zhou CAS Key Laboratory of Separation Science 469
- for Analytical Chemistry, Dalian Institute of Chemical 470
- Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, 471
- China; Zhang Dayu Schoole of Chemistry, Dalian University 472 of Technology, Dalian 116012, China
- 474 Complete contact information is available at:

475 https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsabm.0c01269

476 Author Contributions

477 [†]W.L. and R.L. contributed equally to this work. All authors 478 have contributed to the completion of the manuscript. 479 Approval of all the authors has been given for the final 480 manuscript.

481 Notes

473

482 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

483 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

484 We are grateful for the financial support from the National 485 Natural Science Foundation of China (22078314, 21878286, 486 21908216, 21576043), Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics 487 (DICPI201938, DICP I202006), Dalian Talent Support 488 Program (2018RQ16), and Liaoning Provincial Fund 489 (2019JH2/10300016).

REFERENCES 490

(1) Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States, 2013. Centers for 491 492 Disease Control and Prevention: Atlanta, 2013.

(2) Thigpen, M. C.; Whitney, C. G.; Messonnier, N. E.; Zell, E. R.; 493 494 Lynfield, R.; Hadler, J. L.; Harrison, L. H.; Farley, M. M.; Reingold, 495 A.; Bennett, N. M.; Craig, A. S.; Schaffner, W.; Thomas, A.; Lewis, M. 496 M.; Scallan, E.; Schuchat, A. Bacterial meningitis in the United States, 497 1998-2007. N. Engl. J. Med. 2011, 364, 2016-2025.

(3) Gaca, J. G.; Sheng, S.; Daneshmand, M. A.; O'Brien, S.; Rankin, 498 499 J. S.; Brennan, J. M.; Hughes, G. C.; Glower, D. D.; Gammie, J. S.; 500 Smith, P. K. Outcomes for endocarditis surgery in North America: a 501 simplified risk scoring system. J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg. 2011, 141, 502 98-106.

(4) Global Tuberculosis Control: WHO Report 2010; World Health 503 504 Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2010.

505 (5) Kollef, M. H.; Bassetti, M.; Francois, B. The intensive care 506 medicine research agenda on multidrug-resistant bacteria, antibiotics, 507 and stewardship. Intensive Care Med. 2017, 43, 1187-1197.

508 (6) Levy, S. B; Marshall, B. Antibacterial resistance worldwide: 509 causes, challenges and responses. Nat. Med. 2004, 10, S122-S129.

(7) Global Tuberculosis Report 2019; World Health Organization: 510 511 Geneva, Switzerland, 2019.

(8) Miao, L.; Liu, W. W.; Qiao, Q. L.; Li, X. L.; Xu, Z. C. 512 513 Fluorescent antibiotics for real-time tracking of pathogenic bacteria. J. 514 Pharm. Anal. 2020, 10, 444-451.

(9) Yang, L.; Xiong, H.; Su, Y.; Tian, H.; Liu, X.; Song, X. A red-515 516 emitting water-soluble fluorescent probe for biothiol detection with a 517 large Stokes shift. Chin. Chem. Lett. 2019, 30, 563-565.

(10) Wen, Y.; Huo, F. J.; Yin, C. X. Organelle targetable fluorescent 518 519 probes for hydrogen peroxide. Chin. Chem. Lett. 2019, 30, 1834-520 1842.

521 (11) Qin, H.; Li, L.; Li, K.; Xiaoqi, Y. Novel strategy of constructing 522 fluorescent probe for MAO-B via cascade reaction and its application

in imaging MAO-B in human astrocyte. Chin. Chem. Lett. 2019, 30, 523 71-74. 524

(12) Kang, Y. F.; Niu, L. Y.; Yang, Q. Z. Fluorescent probes for 525 detection of biothiols based on "aromatic nucleophilic substitution- 526 rearrangement" mechanism. Chin. Chem. Lett. 2019, 30, 1791-1798. 527

(13) Hu, G. D.; Jia, H. Y.; Zhao, L. N.; Cho, D. H.; Fang, J. G. Small 528 molecule fluorescent probes of protein vicinal dithiols. Chin. Chem. 529 Lett. 2019, 30, 1704-1716. 530

(14) Keer, J. T.; Birch, L. Molecular methods for the assessment of 531 bacterial viability. I. Microbiol. Methods 2003, 53, 175-183. 532

(15) Stiefel, P.; Schmidt-Emrich, S.; Maniura-Weber, K.; Ren, Q. 533 Critical aspects of using bacterial cell viability assays with the 534 fluorophores SYTO9 and propidium iodide. BMC Microbiol. 2015, 15, 535 36 - 44536

(16) Zeng, D. X.; Chen, Z.; Jiang, Y.; Xue, F.; Li, B. G. Advances and 537 challengesin viability detection of foodborne pathogens. Front 538 Microbiol. 2016, 7, 1-12. 539

(17) Phetsang, W.; Pelingon, R.; Butler, M. S.; Kc, S.; Pitt, M. E.; 540 Kaeslin, G.; Cooper, M. A.; Blaskovich, M. A. T. Fluorescent 541 trimethoprim conjugate probes to assess drug accumulation in wild 542 type and mutant Escherichia coli. ACS Infect. Dis. 2016, 2, 688-701. 543

(18) Tang, J. L.; Chu, B. B.; Wang, J. H.; Song, B.; Su, Y. Y.; Wang, 544 H. Y.; He, Y. Multifunctional nanoagents for ultrasensitive imaging 545 and photoactive killing of Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. 546 Nat. Commun. 2019, 10, 4057.

(19) Woo, P.C.Y.; Lau, S.K.P.; Teng, J.L.L.; Tse, H; Yuen, K.-Y. 548 Then and now: use of 16S rDNA gene sequencing for bacterial 549 identifification and discovery of novel bacteria in clinical microbiology 550 laboratories. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 2008, 14, 908-934. 551

(20) van Oosten, M.; Schafer, T.; Gazendam, J. A. C.; Ohlsen, K.; 552 Tsompanidou, E.; de Goffau, M. C.; Harmsen, H. J. M.; Crane, L. M. 553 A.; Lim, E.; Francis, K. P.; et al. Real-time in vivo imaging of invasive- 554 and biomaterial-associated bacterial infections using fluorescently 555 labelled vancomycin. Nat. Commun. 2013, 4, 2584-2591. 556

(21) Petchiappan, A.; Chatterji, D. Antibiotic resistance: current 557 perspectives. ACS Omega 2017, 2, 7400-7409. 558

(22) Chen, W. W.; Li, Q. Z.; Zheng, W. S.; Hu, F.; Zhang, G. X.; 559 Wang, Z.; Zhang, D. Q.; Jiang, X. Y. Identification of bacteria in water 560 by a fluorescent array. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 13734-13739. 561

(23) He, P.; Lv, F. T.; Liu, L. B.; Wang, S. Cationic conjugated 562 polymers for detection and inactivation of pathogens. Sci. China: 563 Chem. 2017, 60, 1567-1574. 564

(24) Kuru, E.; Hughes, H. V.; Brown, P. J.; Hall, E.; Tekkam, S.; 565 Cava, F.; de Pedro, M. A.; Brun, Y. V.; Van Nieuwenhze, M. S. In situ 566 probing of newly synthesized peptidoglycan in live bacteria with 567 fluorescent D-amino acids. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12519- 568 12523. 569

(25) Morris, M. A.; Malek, M.; Hashemian, M. H.; Nguyen, B. T.; 570 Manuse, S.; Lewis, K.; Nowick, J. S. A fluorescent teixobactin 571 analogue. ACS Chem. Biol. 2020, 15, 1222-1231. 572

(26) Tiyanont, K.; Doan, T.; Lazarus, M. B.; Fang, X.; Rudner, D. 573 Z.; Walker, S. Imaging peptidoglycan biosynthesis in Bacillus subtilis 574 with fluorescent antibiotics. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2006, 103, 575 11033-11038. 576

(27) Wang, H. Y.; Hua, X. W.; Jia, H. R.; Li, C. C.; Lin, F. M.; Chen, 577 Z.; Wu, F. G. Universal cell surface imaging for mammalian, fungal, 578 and bacterial cells. ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng. 2016, 2, 987-997. 579

(28) Huang, Y.; Pappas, H. C.; Zhang, L.; Wang, S. S.; Cai, R.; Tan, 580 W. H.; Wang, S.; Whitten, D. G.; Schanze, K. S. Selective imaging and 581 inactivation of bacteria over mammalian cells by imidazolium- 582 substituted polythiophene. Chem. Mater. 2017, 29, 6389-6395. 583 (29) Kwon, H. Y.; Liu, X.; Choi, E. G.; Lee, J. Y.; Choi, S. Y.; Kim, J. 584 Y.; Wang, L.; Park, S. J.; Kim, B.; Lee, Y. A.; Kim, J. J.; Kang, N. Y.; 585 Chang, Y. T. Development of a universal fluorescent probe for Gram- 586 positive bacteria. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 8426-8431. 587

(30) Gao, M.; Hu, Q. L.; Feng, G. X.; Tomczak, N.; Liu, R. R.; Xing, 588 B. G.; Tang, B. Z.; Liu, B. A multifunctional probe with aggregation- 589 induced emission characteristics for selective fluorescence imaging 590 591 and photodynamic killing of bacteria over mammalian cells. *Adv.* 592 *Healthcare Mater.* **2015**, *4*, 659–663.

(31) Wang, W.; Lin, L. Y.; Du, Y. H.; Song, Y. L.; Peng, X. M.; Chen,
X.; Yang, C. Y. J. Assessing the viability of transplanted gut microbiota
by sequential tagging with D-amino acid-based metabolic probes. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, 10, 1317-1323.

597 (32) Geva-Zatorsky, N.; Alvarez, D.; Hudak, J. E; Reading, N. C; 598 Erturk-Hasdemir, D.; Dasgupta, S.; von Andrian, U. H; Kasper, D. L 599 In vivo imaging and tracking of host-microbiota interactions via 600 metabolic labeling of gut anaerobic bacteria. *Nat. Med.* **2015**, *21*, 601 1091–1100.

602 (33) Shieh, P.; Siegrist, M. S.; Cullen, A. J.; Bertozzi, C. R. Imaging 603 bacterial peptidoglycan with near-infrared fluorogenic azide probes. 604 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2014**, *111*, 5456–5461.

605 (34) Long, S. S.; Qiao, Q. L.; Miao, L.; Xu, Z. C. A self-assembly/ 606 disassembly two-photo ratiometric fluorogenic probe for bacteria 607 imaging. *Chin. Chem. Lett.* **2019**, *30*, 573–576.

608 (35) Xu, Z. C.; Baek, K. H.; Kim, H. N.; Cui, J. N.; Qian, X. H.; 609 Spring, D. R.; Shin, I.; Yoon, J. Y. Zn^{2+} -triggered amide 610 tautomerization produces a highly Zn^{2+} -selective, cell-permeable, 611 and ratiometric fluorescent sensor. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 601– 612 610.

613 (36) Deng, F.; Long, S. S.; Qiao, Q. L.; Xu, Z. C. The 614 environmental-sensitivity of a fluorescent ZTRS-Cd(ii) complex was 615 applied to discriminate different types of surfactants and determine 616 their CMC values. *Chem. Commun.* **2018**, *54*, 6157–6160.

617 (37) Deng, F.; Liu, L. M.; Qiao, Q. L.; Huang, C. F.; Miao, L.; Xu, Z. 618 C. A general strategy to develop cell membrane fluorescent probes 619 with location- and target-specific fluorogenicities: a case of a Zn2+ 620 probe with cellular selectivity. *Chem. Commun.* **2019**, *55*, 15045– 621 15048.

622 (38) Bramhill, D. Bacteria cell division. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 623 **1997**, 13, 395–424.

624 (39) Daniel, R. A.; Errington, J. Control of cell morphogenesis in 625 bacteria: two distinct ways to make a rod-shaped cell. *Cell* **2003**, *113*, 626 767–776.

627 (40) Kumar, S. S.; Ghosh, A. R. Assessment of bacterial viability: a 628 comprehensive review on recent advances and challenges. *Micro*-629 *biology* **2019**, *165*, 593–610.

(41) Emerson, J. B.; Adams, R. I.; Roman, C. M. B.; Brooks, B.; Coil,
(31 D. A.; Dahlhausen, K.; Ganz, H. H.; Hartmann, E. M.; Hsu, T.;
(32 Justice, N. B.; et al. Schrödinger's microbes: tools for distinguishing
(33 the living from the dead in microbial ecosystems. *Microbiome* 2017, 5,
(634 86.