Research Articles





IP Fluorescent Probes Very Important Paper

How to cite:

International Edition: doi.org/10.1002/anie.202004449 German Edition: doi.org/10.1002/ange.202004449

Sterically Shielded Heptamethine Cyanine Dyes for Bioconjugation and High Performance Near-Infrared Fluorescence Imaging

Dong-Hao Li, Cynthia L. Schreiber, and Bradley D. Smith*

Abstract: The near-infrared window of fluorescent heptamethine cyanine dyes greatly facilitates biological imaging because there is deep penetration of the light and negligible background fluorescence. However, dye instability, aggregation, and poor pharmacokinetics are current drawbacks that limit performance and the scope of possible applications. All these limitations are simultaneously overcome with a new molecular design strategy that produces a charge balanced and sterically shielded fluorochrome. The key design feature is a meso-aryl group that simultaneously projects two shielding arms directly over each face of a linear heptamethine polyene. Cell and mouse imaging experiments compared a shielded heptamethine cyanine dye (and several peptide and antibody bioconjugates) to benchmark heptamethine dyes and found that the shielded systems possess an unsurpassed combination of photophysical, physiochemical, and biodistribution properties that greatly enhance bioimaging performance.

Introduction

Fluorescent heptamethine cyanine dyes (known traditionally and commercially as Cy7) have absorption peaks in the near-infrared (NIR) range of 740-840 nm, a favorable wavelength region for invivo imaging because there is deep penetration of the light through thick biological samples, along with high image contrast due to decreased light scattering and negligible background signal.^[1] Heptamethine cyanine dyes are often attached to synthetic or biological molecules to create targeted fluorescent conjugates for diagnostics, microscopy, or in vivo imaging, and these frontier technologies are expanding rapidly.^[2] The potential value of heptamethine cyanine dyes has increased tremendously in recent years with the realization that the tail of their emission bands extend into the range of 1000-1700 nm, which is often called the NIR II region.^[3] This is an important discovery with significant practical implications because in vivo imaging in the NIR II region produces brighter and sharper fluorescence images.

Heptamethine cyanine dyes have extended hydrophobic (and polarizable) surface areas and a small polyene HOMO– LUMO band gap, so dye instability, self-aggregation, and

- [*] D.-H. Li, Dr. C. L. Schreiber, Prof. B. D. Smith Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of Notre Dame
 251 Nieuwland Science Hall, Notre Dame, IN 46556 (USA) E-mail: smith.115@nd.edu
- Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for
 the author(s) of this article can be found under: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202004449.

poor pharmacokinetics are common technical drawbacks that severely limit the scope of current applications. Shown in Scheme 1 are the leading choices of heptamethine cyanine dyes for fabrication of preclinical and clinical fluorescent NIR molecular probes.^[2b] The archetype heptamethine dye is Indocyanine Green (ICG), the only NIR dye with absorption/ emission above 700 nm that is approved for use in humans. Although used extensively, it is known for its modest stability and mediocre fluorescent properties, and also the absence of a single reactive site for easy bioconjugation.^[4] A notable advance in heptamethine cyanine chemistry was the development of conjugatable structures with a central cyclohexyl ring.^[5] A benchmark example is polyanionic IRDye 800CW (CW800), a commercially available heptamethine indocyanine dye that has been developed into several fluorescent NIR molecular probes that are currently under clinical evaluation for enhanced intraoperative imaging. $\ensuremath{^{[2a,b]}}$ While molecular probes based on CW800 have undoubted value in biomedical imaging, there are three constraining performance limitations. One is undesired, non-specific interaction of the polyanionic fluorochrome (or its conjugate) with off-target proteins, cell membranes, or skin, which often produces moderate background signals and non-optimal pharmacoki-



Scheme 1. Chemical structures of heptamethine cyanine dyes.

Wiley Online Library

© 2020 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 2-10

Research Articles

netic profiles.^[3b,6] A second concern is chemical degradation of CW800 due to nucleophilic displacement of the *meso*-Oaryl group by biological amines or thiols during synthesis, storage, or the course of the imaging experiment.^[7] A third concern is susceptibility to photobleaching due to high reactivity of the electron-rich heptamethine polyene with electrophilic singlet oxygen.^[4b,8]

For the last 15 years, efforts to solve these three heptamethine cyanine performance problems (non-optimal pharmacokinetics, chemical and photochemical instability) have resulted in two noteworthy structural modifications. In vivo pharmacokinetic profiles have been improved by creating geometrically, charge-balanced dye structures (often called zwitterionic) such as ZW800-1, which minimizes binding to serum proteins and membrane surfaces, promotes exclusive renal clearance, and produces an ultralow imaging background and high tumor-to-background ratio.^[6c,9] The second structural improvement is to replace the dye's labile meso C-O arvl bond with a more stable covalent linkage. A recent advance developed by Schnermann and co-workers employed a more robust meso C-O alkyl bond,^[6c,8a] and one example of this fluorochrome is UL766, which exhibits excellent chemical stability and very favorable pharmacokinetics due to its charge balanced structure.^[10] However, the heptamethine polyene within UL766, (and its close structural analogues) is quite electron rich which means relatively high fluorochrome reactivity with singlet oxygen, and thus susceptibility to photobleaching.^[8a] Another way to replace the reactive meso C-O aryl bond in ZW800-1 is to employ a much more stable C-C linkage as exemplified by 756z with its mesoaryl substituent.^[11] However, the rigid hydrophobic core of charge balanced 756z (and its close structural analogues) promotes low water solubility and extensive dye self-aggregation, which limits practicality.^[11a,b,12] Self-aggregation of NHS ester versions of 756z is especially problematic during a protein conjugation reaction because it drives attachment of multiple self-aggregated dyes at proximal lysine positions on the protein surface leading to partially quenched (less fluorescent) protein-dve conjugates.^[12a,13]

Herein, we present a new and versatile molecular design strategy that simultaneously overcomes all of the heptamethine performance limitations described above. We have invented a new class of cyanine dyes that we call sterically shielded heptamethine cyanine dyes. The molecular design is based on the underappreciated fact that a cyanine dye with a meso-aryl substituent adopts a low-energy conformation with the plane of the aryl ring strongly rotated out the plane of the polyene.^[14] Adopting this molecular conformation alleviates steric crowding between the meso-aryl ortho hydrogens and the proximal β hydrogens on the heptamethine chain (Scheme 2). Synthetically, we exploit this structural feature by designing a new three-dimensional architecture that simultaneously projects two shielding arms directly over each face of the polyene. These shielding arms do not greatly increase the molecular weight, but they block undesired biological interactions and enhance photostability. The literature includes a scattering of studies that report self-shielded dyes, but the strategy has not been applied to conjugatable cyanine dyes, which are, by far, the most important for NIR fluorescence



Unshielded Heptamethine Fluorochrome Rigid hydrophobic polyene core promotes: dye self-aggregation, interaction and reaction with other molecules.



Shielded Heptamethine Fluorochrome Central cyclohexyl ring is absent and the polyene is protected by two arms (X) directed over each face, which prevents: dye selfaggregation, interaction or reaction with other molecules.

Molecular Model of s775z The red-colored shielding arms, with triethyleneglycol chains, project over both faces of the polyene section of the bluecolored fluorochrome.



Scheme 2. Basic concept of a sterically shielded heptamethine cyanine dye.

imaging.^[15] To demonstrate the substantial advantages gained by exploiting this approach, we have prepared a new shielded and charge-balanced heptamethine cyanine dye called **s775z** along with two bioconjugates (Scheme 1). We have compared the chemical, photophysical and pharmacokinetic properties of these three fluorescent compounds with an analogous set of compounds that are based on the unshielded analogue **756z** and we find major improvements in several different NIR dye properties that lead to broadly enhanced bioimaging performance.

Results and Discussion

Design and Synthesis

For comparative studies, we synthesized the benchmark heptamethine dye UL766^[10] and purchased ICG. A more transformational synthetic achievement was to prepare the shielded heptamethine s775z and control unshielded analogue 756z, along with two bioconjugates of each dye. The common structural elements in s775z and 756z include a heptamethine indocyanine fluorochrome and a geometric balanced periphery of cationic and anionic residues. There are two crucial structural differences; the presence of the two shielding arms in s775z as discussed in the introduction, and the presence of the central cyclohexyl ring in 756z. While the central cyclohexyl ring bolsters molecular rigidity, which is often considered a favorable structural attribute for fluorescent dyes, $[^{5a, 9c]}$ we reasoned that the rigidity combined with increased hydrophobicity was a factor promoting dye selfaggregation.^[11a,b,12,16] Literature examples of linear heptamethine polyenes that have a meso-positioned substituent but no



Scheme 3. Synthesis of s775z.

central cyclohexyl ring are rare and historically hard to make.^[17] The synthetic advance that allowed us to prepare linear and meso-functionalized s775z was the newly reported methodology of Štacková and co-workers that involves ring opening of Zincke salts.^[18] The significant advantage gained by employing this innovative synthetic strategy is that the C-C link to the center of the heptamethine polyene is formed before the complete polyene is created and thus the C-C coupling reaction does not encounter high steric hindrance. The key synthetic intermediate 1 was prepared in five steps and then converted quantitatively into 2 by conducting a copper-catalyzed alkyne-azide cycloaddition (CuAAC) reaction that attached two triethyleneglycol chains (Scheme 3). The next step was a Zincke reaction; a two-step process that first formed a pyridinium salt, 3, and then reacted it with two molar equivalents of charge-balanced indolenium $4^{[9e]}$ to give the *t*-butyl-protected heptamethine dye, which was converted into shielded s775z.

Molecular Structure of s775z

The energy-minimized molecular model of s775z in Scheme 2 shows how the two shielding arms, with triethyleneglycol chains, project over both faces of the heptamethine polyene that is an all-trans conformation.^[4a] The model is consistent with literature X-ray crystal structures showing the meso-aryl ring strongly rotated out of the plane of the polyene.^[14,19] Close inspection of the ¹H NMR spectra for s775z (Supporting Information, Figure S3) in water reveals the heptamethine proton coupling constants $({}^{3}J_{HH})$ to all be 13.5 Hz indicating a polyene chain with an all-trans conformation.^[20] In addition, ¹H–¹H NOE experiments (Figure S4) identified cross relaxation between indolenine gem-dimethyl protons and polyene protons, as well as shielding chain protons, all consistent with an all-trans polyene.^[21] Finally, the chemical shifts for the heptamethine β -protons and indolenine gem-dimethyl groups in 756z and s775z are substantially upfield of the analogous peaks in related heptamethine structures that do not have a meso-aryl substituent (Figure S2), reflecting strong magnetic shielding of these diagnostic protons by the face of the rotated meso-aryl ring.

Spectral Properties and Stability

www.angewandte.org

As shown in Tables 1 and Tables S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information, the fluorescence brightness of

Table 1: Spectral and reactivity properties of dyes in PBS (pH 7.4).^[a]

	756z	s775z	UL766 ^[g]	ZW800-1 ^[g]	CW800 ^[g]
λ^{abs}_{max} [nm]	681 (a) ^[f]	775	766	770	775
	756 (m) ^[f]				
λ^{em}_{max} [nm]	773	794	789	788	796
$\varepsilon \left[M^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \right]$	99000	201 000	229 000	246000	242 000
(R ²) ^[b]	(0.942) ^[b]	(0.999)			
QY ^[c]	0.097	0.090	0.095	0.135	0.090
Brightness ^[d]	9600	18000	22000	33 000	22000
Stable to	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
nucleophiles ^[e]					

[a] Concentration range of dyes is 0–5 μm . All measurements were made at room temperature. [b] Molar absorptivity of monomer band, nonlinear relationship with concentration due to dye self-aggregation. [c] Quantum yield relative to UL766, error is \pm 10%. [d] ϵ , QY, error is \pm 15%. [e] Meso linkage is not cleaved by biological amines or thiols. $^{[10,12]}$ [f] a = aggregate; m = monomer. [g] Spectral data from reference. $^{[10,23]}$

shielded **s775z** and benchmark UL766 were listed within experimental error. Importantly, the excitation/emission wavelengths of **s775z** ($\lambda_{ex} = 775$ nm, $\lambda_{em} = 794$ nm, in PBS) closely match the typical default settings of commercial closed-box and open-field imaging stations, which means minimal refinement of machine configuration is needed for future utilization of molecular probes that are based on **s775z**.^[22]

Aqueous samples of s775z can be stored indefinitely at 4°C, and samples of s775z in 100% fetal bovine serum (FBS) do not change at 37°C over 24 h (Figure S13a), which is in contrast to the known degradation of CW800 and ZW800-1 under very similar conditions.^[10,12]

High photostability is also a highly desired, but an elusive heptamethine cyanine dye property.^[4a,24] Photobleaching of a heptamethine cyanine dye is primarily caused by a bimolecular reaction of the heptamethine polyene with photogenerated singlet oxygen.^[4b,8a-c] The predominant reaction pathway forms a strained dioxetane intermediate followed by a fragmentation cascade. A possible second minor pathway is electron transfer from the polyene to singlet oxygen leading to a dimerized dye structure.^[20]

Shown in Figure 1 are the results of two separate photostability studies. The first study irradiated four different cuvettes, each containing a solution of dye in PBS, with a xenon lamp (filtered to allow wavelengths > 620 nm) and monitored for a decrease in the dye's absorption maxima band (Figure 1a, see Figures S15–S18 for the entire set of spectral plots and Table S3 for quantification). The order of photostabilities was observed to be s775z > 756z > UL766 >



Figure 1. Two separate photostability studies. a) Lamp irradiation: Four separate cuvettes, each containing 1 μ M dye in PBS buffer, pH 7.4, were irradiated by a 150 W xenon lamp with a 620 nm long-pass filter. The plot of normalized dye absorbance versus time was fit to a one-phase exponential decay. b) Imaging station irradiation: Imaging phantoms (immobilized 100 μ L drops containing **s775z** or **UL766**, 10 μ M in PBS buffer, pH 7.4) were irradiated with an in vivo imaging station's 745 nm LED for a total period of 60 min. The mean pixel intensity (MPI) values for the fluorescence images (λ_{ex} =745 nm, λ_{em} =850 nm) are listed (*N*=3 for each phantom). Scale bar=1 cm.

ICG. An additional competitive experiment irradiated a single solution containing a mixture of **s775z** and UL766, which ensured that both dyes were exposed to the same number of photons and photogenerated singlet oxygen. Analysis of the solution mixture after irradiation revealed slight decomposition of the **s775z** but complete loss of all UL766 (Figure S19).

A second, independent photostability study confirmed the difference between **s775z** and UL766 under milder irradiation conditions that more closely resembled an in vivo imaging experiment or clinical intraoperative imaging procedure. Imaging phantoms were created by immobilizing stable drops of **s775z** or UL766 (100 μ L, 10 μ M in PBS buffer, pH 7.4) on a black non-reflective sheet. The phantoms were placed inside a commercial in vivo imaging station and continuously exposed to the station's 745 nm LED. The data in Figure 1b shows the change in mean pixel intensity (MPI) for the phantom images. After 60 min of constant irradiation, the images of phantoms containing UL766 had decreased to 58 ± 2% of initial intensity; whereas, the images of phantoms containing **s775z** had only decreased to 77 ± 2% of initial intensity.

These heptamethine photostability trends suggest that the *meso*-aryl group in **s775z** with its two shielding arms induces three combined effects that inhibit bimolecular reaction of its heptamethine polyene with electrophilic singlet oxygen: 1) The *meso*-aryl group within **s775z** electronically deactivates polyene reactivity (lowers the HOMO energy) compared to UL766, which has an electron donating *meso*-O-alkyl group, b) the steric bulk of the *meso*-aryl group in **s775z** destabilizes any putative dioxetane intermediate formed by oxygen/polyene cycloaddition, and c) the two shielding arms in **s775z** sterically inhibit singlet oxygen attack at the polyene, compared to unshielded **756z**, providing more opportunity for the short-lived singlet oxygen to relax by another physical pathway.^[15e]

The solubility of s775z in water is remarkably high at concentrations over 100 mm; and a 1 mm stock solution of s775z in water was found to be unchanged after one-month storage at 4°C. In contrast, a freshly prepared 1 mM stock solution of unshielded 756z in water forms a precipitate after 24 h, and the insoluble material cannot be redissolved after sonication (Figure S1). The difference in water solubility between s775z and 756z correlates with the propensities to form self-aggregates. Self-aggregation of heptamethine cyanine dyes is readily indicated by conversion of monomer absorption bands into aggregate bands, in this case blueshifted H-aggregates.^[13b, c] As shown by the absorption spectra in Figure 2a and Figures S7-S10 in the Supporting Information, the control dye 756z exists largely as non-fluorescent Haggregates (see excitation spectra in Figure S11), whereas the shielded dye s775z is in a fluorescent monomeric state. A series of dye/protein association studies (Figures S10 and S14) found that charge balanced 756z and s775z have similar weak affinities for bovine serum albumin (BSA) with K_a values of $1.6 \times 10^4 \,\text{M}^{-1}$ and $1.3 \times 10^4 \,\text{M}^{-1}$, respectively, which is about 40fold lower than the $K_{\rm a}$ value for BSA association with ICG.^[13b,25]

Standard amide bond conjugation chemistry was used to react the NHS ester of **756z** or **s775z** with a free amine on the cyclic peptide targeting unit, cRGDfK, and create the homologous fluorescent peptide probes **756z-RGD** and **s775z-RGD**, respectively (Scheme 1). The absorption spectra in Figure 2b and Figure S12 show that the unshielded probe **756z-RGD** exists as a concentration-dependent mixture of fluorescent monomer and non-fluorescent H-aggregate (see excitation spectrum in Figure S11), whereas the shielded probe **s775z-RGD** is a fluorescent monomer in water even at the highest concentration tested (10 μM).

Amide bond formation was also used to attach multiple copies of either **756z** or **s775z** to an antibody. Two sets of antibody conjugates were each prepared by reacting goat immunoglobulin G (IgG) with dye NHS ester followed by size exclusion purification to remove any unreacted dye (see Figures S20–S22 for gel electrophoresis proof-of-purity). Purified samples of **756z-IgG** (degree of labeling (DOL) = 2.1) and **s775z-IgG** (DOL = 2.3) were found to be stable over 7 days when stored at 4 °C in PBS buffer (Figure S25), unlike antibody conjugates of ZW800-1, which have been reported



Figure 2. Absorption spectra of a) **s775z** (solid line) and **756z** (dashed line), b) **s775z-RGD** (solid line) and **756z-RGD** (dashed line), in water at different concentrations.

© 2020 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



to partially degrade over 24 h.^[12b] The absorption spectrum of control antibody conjugate 756z-IgG (Figure 3a) shows a blue-shifted H-aggregate peak at 680 nm corresponding to close stacking of the appended fluorochromes because they are attached to the antibody at proximal positions (see Scheme S1 for a schematic picture).^[13] A patch of stacked appended fluorescent dyes on an antibody surface is problematic for several reasons, including: 1) The stacked dyes can disrupt antibody folding or structural dynamics and thus antibody function, 2) the H-aggregate peak is non-fluorescent, which weakens utility of the antibody conjugate for high sensitivity fluorescence imaging or diagnostics, and 3) a patch of stacked appended dyes can become a hydrophobic hot spot on the antibody surface and promote undesired antibody aggregation or association with biological interfaces. This latter point became apparent when we prepared versions of control 756z-IgG with DOL>2.1; absorption spectra for these samples indicated extensive light scattering (Figure S24) due to intermolecular aggregation of the antibody conjugate. In stark contrast, the absorption spectrum of an analogous antibody conjugate, s775z-IgG, did not exhibit a stacked fluorochrome peak (Figure 3a). Shown in Figure 3b is a plot of relative fluorescence intensity for different polyacrylamide gel bands comprised of s775z-IgG with increasing DOL. The plot reveals an inverse exponential dependence of relative fluorescence on DOL, up to the highest DOL tested, which was 10.7. Even at this unusually high DOL, there was no stacked fluorochrome peak in the conjugate's absorption spectrum (Figure S23), indicating that the 10.7 (on average) copies of s775z covalently appended to the surface of the IgG were not spatially close enough for strong Coulombic coupling of dye excitons.^[26] The fact that fluorescence intensity for s775z-IgG continually increases with DOL, without reaching a maximum value, is unusual for a protein labeled with a cyanine dye, especially a heptamethine cyanine.^[12a,27] This finding has important practical implications because it suggests that bright, densely labeled s775z-antibodies can be used at very low doses for diagnostics or imaging applications. This is crucial in the field of fluorescence guided surgery in which the procedural and practical benefits of conducting clinical trials under microdosing regimes are well recognized,^[28] but to date very few



Figure 3. a) Absorbance spectra (normalized to the absorbance at 280 nm) for samples of **756z-IgG** or **s775z-IgG** with very similar DOL. Only the **756z-IgG** spectrum exhibits a blue-shifted peak corresponding to non-fluorescent stacked fluorochrome. b) Plot of DOL for **756z-IgG** or **s775z-IgG** versus fluorescence intensity (corrected for protein concentration and normalized relative to **s775z-IgG** DOL = 10.7) for different bands of pure **756z-IgG** or **s775z-IgG** on a polyacrylamide gel.

microdose trials have been attempted with fluorescent antibodies because they are not sufficiently bright.^[2a,29]

Biological Imaging Studies

The overall goal of the biological imaging studies was to determine if the heptamethine steric shielding effect promoted high performance NIR fluorescence imaging. More specifically, we needed to demonstrate that the length of the shielding triethyleneglycol chains in **s775z** was long enough to block non-specific interactions with membrane surfaces, serum proteins, and the extracellular matrix. Yet the shielding arms had to be short enough to permit strong association of dye-labeled bioconjugates with specific cell receptors and also allow rapid renal excretion of any unbound probe.^[30]

The hypothesis of low non-specific binding was first tested by measuring the cell uptake, cell toxicity, and mouse biodistribution of s775z. Cell microscopy experiments showed negligible cell uptake of s775z, and there was no significant drop in cell viability after 24 h of dye incubation at the low micromolar concentrations commonly used for biological imaging (Figure S26). Mouse biodistribution studies injected two separate cohorts of normal mice with a 10 nmol dose of ICG or s775z, followed by whole body imaging over time (all mouse experiments used protocols that were approved by the university's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee). After 2 h the mice were sacrificed and the abdominal cavity of each animal was exposed and imaged. The live mouse images (Figure S28a) showed that both dyes were quickly cleared from the mouse bloodstream. But as revealed by the representative NIR images of exposed abdomen in Figure 4a and the associated biodistribution graph (Figure S28b), the blood clearance pathways were very different. As expected, virtually all of the ICG remained within the animals, where it accumulated in the intestines and liver. In contrast, most of the s775z had underwent near-exclusive renal clearance after 2 h, with only weak NIR fluorescence remaining in the urinecontaining bladder and kidneys.

The next step was to prove that the two shielding triethyleneglycol chains in s775z did not prevent a targeted version of the dye from binding to cancer cell-surface receptors. This was done by first studying the cell-targeting properties of the peptide conjugates, s775z-RGD and 756z-**RGD**. These two conjugates include the cyclic peptide sequence cRGDfK that is well-known to have nanomolar affinity for cell-surface integrin receptors, more specifically the receptor sub-types $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$ and $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{5}$.^[31] The ubiquity of RGDbased molecular probes makes cRGDfK a sensible choice of targeting unit for comparative studies of biological imaging performance.^[2a,b] We focused on A549 cancer cells (human lung adenocarcinoma), which is a cell line that overexpresses integrin $\alpha_v \beta_5$ receptors and selectively internalizes fluorescent cRGDfK conjugates.^[31,32] A comparative set of fluorescence microscopy experiments incubated separate samples of A549 cells with each fluorescent compound (s775z-RGD, 756z-RGD, s775z, or 756z) and observed much higher cell uptake of two cRGDfK targeted probes compared to their untargeted counterparts (Figure S27). Moreover, cell uptake of the

www.angewandte.org

© 2020 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



Figure 4. a) Representative overlaid brightfield and fluorescence images of exposed abdomen of normal mice (no tumor) sacrificed 2 h after retro-orbital injection of either ICG or s775z (10 nmol). The fluorescence intensity scale, in arbitrary units, is the same for both overlaid images, whereas the intensity of smaller NIR fluorescence image is amplified. b) Plot of intracellular mean fluorescence intensities as a measure of NIR dye cell uptake. Integrin-positive A549 cells were treated for 1 h with 10 µm of NIR probe. The blocking experiments added 100 μm of free cRGDfK prior to the incubation with RGD probes. c) Representative whole-body NIR fluorescence images of living mice bearing a subcutaneous A549 tumor at 0.5 and 3 h after retro-orbital injection of either s775z or s775z-RGD (10 nmol). d) Plot of tumor-to-background Ratio (TBR) in living mice at different postinjection time points. e) Plot of MPI for excised tumors normalized to thigh muscle from the same mouse sacrificed at 3 h post-injection. Average for each cohort (N = 4) is indicated by a black line, with error bars indicating \pm SEM. Representative NIR fluorescence image of an excised tumor is shown above each cohort. * indicates p < 0.05, and ** *p* < 0.01.

shielded **s775z-RGD** was higher than cell uptake of the unshielded and self-aggregated **756z-RGD**. In both cases, the cell uptake of targeted probe was successfully blocked by preincubating the cells with an excess amount of the optically transparent targeting peptide cRGDfK (Figure 4b), strongly indicating that cell uptake was caused by integrin-selective binding and subsequent endocytosis.

The high level of A549 cell uptake by cancer targeted s775z-RGD prompted us to conduct in vivo imaging studies using a subcutaneous mouse tumor model. Nude mice (N=8)bearing a subcutaneous tumor (A549 cells) in the right rear flank were randomly divided into two cohorts and given a retro-orbital injection of either s775z or s775z-RGD (10 nmol).^[33] Each mouse was imaged periodically over 3 h (Figure 4c and Figure S29) and the change in tumor fluorescence MPI and tumor-to-background ratio was plotted (Figure 4d and Figure S30). The live animal images were consistent with the standard pharmacokinetic model for tumor partitioning of small untargeted and targeted probes.^[25,34] The mice dosed with s775z showed transient uptake into the subcutaneous tumor followed by washout of the untargeted dye. In contrast, the images of mice dosed with the targeted s775z-RGD showed much slower washout from the tumor leading to a significantly higher tumor-to-background ratio at the 2 h and 3 h time points (Figure 4d and Figure S29 and S30). This difference in tumor imaging capability reflects the high affinity of the targeted s775z-RGD probe for the overexpressed integrin receptors on the surface of the cancer cells and endothelial cells that line the tumor vasculature.^[32] After the 3 h time point, the mice were sacrificed, and a mock surgery was performed on the mouse cohort dosed with s775z-**RGD** (Figure S31). Subsequently, all tumors and major organs were removed and the amount of dye in the different tissues was quantified by measuring the fluorescence MPI. Shown in Figure 4e is a plot of MPI for excised tumors, normalized to thigh muscle, and a pair of representative NIR fluorescence images of the excised tumors. The complete set of tumor NIR fluorescence images is provided in Figure S32 and a plot of normalized MPI for all excised tissues is shown in Figure S33. The normalized tumor MPI for mice dosed with cancer-targeted s775z-RGD (14.4 ± 3.0) was much higher than the value for mice dosed with untargeted s775z (2.6 \pm 0.5), and reflects a combination of high affinity for the overexpressed integrin receptors in the tumor tissue and very low affinity for background muscle tissue.^[9d,33] From the perspective of fluorescence guided cancer surgery, s775z-**RGD** achieved the highly desirable combination of rapid, near-exclusive renal clearance from the bloodstream, very high tumor-to-background ratio, and ultralow retention in background tissue.^[2a,b] Thus, s775z-RGD has high potential for passage towards clinical translation.

Conclusion

For about thirty years, chemical research on heptamethine cyanine dyes has focused on flat molecules with a polar periphery. This study validates a new three-dimensional structural strategy that simultaneously projects two shielding arms directly over each face of the polyene. Compared to the benchmark heptamethine cyanine dyes listed in Scheme 1, shielded **s775z** and its bioconjugates exhibit an unsurpassed combination of photophysical, physiochemical and biodistribution properties that greatly enhance bioimaging performance. Shielded **s775z** has a C-aryl group at the *meso* position of a heptamethine polyene which makes the fluorochrome

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 2-10

© 2020 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.angewandte.org

chemically more stable than the popular heptamethine cyanines CW800 or ZW800-1, which each have a more labile meso C-O-aryl linkage.^[12] A large set of comparative NIR fluorescence studies compared s775z to unshielded control dye 756z and found that shielding prevents dye self-aggregation and non-specific biological interactions. Importantly, the shielding arms do not prevent high affinity targeting of bioconjugates to cell surface receptors, or renal clearance from the blood stream. Notably, the integrin targeted probe s775z-RGD permitted high contrast cancer cell microscopy and mouse tumor imaging, with the latter producing a very high tumor-to-background ratio and ultralow retention in background tissue. Additional bioconjugation studies showed that multiple copies of shielded s775z can be attached to an antibody to produce a densely labeled conjugate without any stacking of appended fluorochromes. Next generation versions of densely labeled s775z-antibodies can likely be used as very bright, fluorescent probes for deployment at microdoses in various diagnostics or clinical imaging procedures. Furthermore, shielded s775z exhibits much better photostability than the benchmark heptamethine cyanines CW800, ZW800-1, or UL766 whose polyenes are electronically activated to react with photogenerated singlet oxygen. The remarkably high photostability of s775z makes it very attractive for incorporation into modern photon-intensive microscopy experiments such as single-molecule tracking or super resolution imaging, as well as emerging clinical procedures, such as fluorescence guided surgery, which require long periods of sustained light exposure.^[4a] The synthetic modularity that underlies the structure of s775z enables easy customization of bioimaging performance by modifying the two shielding arms to rationally fine-tune pharmacokinetics,^[30,35] or the polyene structure to enhance photophysical properties.[36]

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for funding support from the US NIH (R01GM059078, R35GM136212 and T32GM075762) and a Berry Family Foundation fellowship from the University of Notre Dame.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare the following potential competing financial interest: B.D.S and D.-H.L. have filed a provisional patent application involving shielded cyanine dyes, whose value might be affected by this publication.

Keywords: antibodies · cyanines · dyes/pigments · fluorescent probes · imaging agents

- [1] a) W. Sun, S. Guo, C. Hu, J. Fan, X. Peng, *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 7768–7817; b) C. Shi, J. B. Wu, D. Pan, *J. Biomed. Opt.* **2016**, *21*, 050901.
- [2] a) S. Hernot, L. V. Manen, P. Debie, J. Sven, D. Mieog, A. L. Vahrmeijer, *Lancet Oncol.* 2019, 20, e354-e367; b) P. Debie, S.

Hernot, Front. Pharmacol. 2019, 10, 510; c) M. Levitus, S. Ranjit, Q. Rev. Biophys. 2011, 44, 123–151.

- [3] a) S. Zhu, B. C. Yung, S. Chandra, G. Niu, A. L. Antaris, X. Chen, *Theranostics* **2018**, *8*, 4141–4151; b) S. Zhu, Z. Hu, R. Tian, B. C. Yung, Q. Yang, S. Zhao, D. O. Kiesewetter, G. Niu, H. Sun, A. L. Antaris, X. Chen, *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 1802546.
- [4] a) A. P. Gorka, R. R. Nani, M. J. Schnermann, Acc. Chem. Res. 2018, 51, 3226–3235; b) A. P. Gorka, R. R. Nani, M. J. Schnermann, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 7584–7598.
- [5] a) X. Ma, M. Laramie, M. Henary, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2018**, 28, 509–514; b) L. Strekowski, M. Lipowska, G. Patonay, J. Org. Chem. **1992**, 57, 4578–4580.
- [6] a) P. Debie, J. van Quathem, I. Hansen, G. Bala, S. Massa, N. Devoogdt, C. Xavier, S. Hernot, *Mol. Pharm.* 2017, *14*, 1145–1153; b) C. Cilliers, I. Nessler, N. Christodolu, G. M. Thurber, *Mol. Pharm.* 2017, *14*, 1623–1633; c) K. Sato, A. P. Gorka, T. Nagaya, M. S. Michie, R. R. Nani, Y. Nakamura, V. L. Coble, O. V. Vasalatiy, R. E. Swenson, P. L. Choyke, M. J. Schnermann, H. Kobayashi, *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2016, *27*, 404–413; d) E. A. Owens, M. Henary, G. El Fakhri, H. S. Choi, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2016, *49*, 1731–1740; e) A. Bunschoten, M. M. Welling, *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2015, *54*, 15434–15438; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, *54*, 15434–15438; *Angew. Chem.* 2015, *127*, 15654–15658; g) K. Sato, C. H. Paik, M. J. Schnermann, A. P. Gorka, T. Nagaya, Y. Nakamura, T. Harada, J. B. Shaum, I. Kim, H. Kobayashi, R. R. Nani, P. L. Choyke, *Mol. Pharm.* 2015, *12*, 3303–3311.
- [7] a) S. Y. Lim, K. H. Hong, D. I. Kim, H. Kwon, H. J. Kim, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 7018–7025; b) A. Zaheer, T. E. Wheat, J. V. Frangioni, Mol. Imaging 2002, 1, 354–364.
- [8] a) M. P. Luciano, S. N. Crooke, S. Nourian, I. Dingle, R. R. Nani, G. Kline, N. L. Patel, C. M. Robinson, S. Difilippantonio, J. D. Kalen, M. G. Finn, M. J. Schnermann, *ACS Chem. Biol.* 2019, *14*, 934–940; b) R. R. Nani, J. A. Kelley, J. Ivanic, M. J. Schnermann, *Chem. Sci.* 2015, *6*, 6556–6563; c) A. Samanta, M. Vendrell, R. Das, Y.-T. Chang, *Chem. Commun.* 2010, *46*, 7406–7408; d) R. J. Mellanby, J. I. Scott, I. Mair, A. Fernandez, L. Saul, J. Arlt, M. Moral, M. Vendrell, *Chem. Sci.* 2018, *9*, 7261–7270.
- [9] a) Z. Yang, S. M. Usama, F. Li, K. Burgess, Z. Li, *MedChem-Comm* 2018, *9*, 1754–1760; b) H. Hyun, M. Henary, T. Gao, L. Narayana, E. A. Owens, J. H. Lee, G. Park, H. Wada, Y. Ashitate, J. V. Frangioni, H. S. Choi, *Mol. Imaging Biol.* 2016, *18*, 52–61; c) H. S. Choi, L. Narayana, M. Henary, C. N. Njiojob, E. A. Owens, H. Hyun, *J. Med. Chem.* 2015, *58*, 2845–2854; d) H. S. Choi, S. L. Gibbs, J. H. Lee, S. H. Kim, Y. Ashitate, F. Liu, H. Hyun, G. Park, Y. Xie, S. Bae, M. Henary, J. V. Frangioni, *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2013, *31*, 148–153; e) H. S. Choi, K. Nasr, S. Alyabyev, D. Feith, J. H. Lee, S. H. Kim, Y. Ashitate, H. Hyun, G. Patonay, L. Strekowski, M. Henary, J. V. Frangioni, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011, *50*, 6258–6263; *Angew. Chem.* 2011, *123*, 6382–6387.
- [10] J. Cha, R. R. Nani, M. P. Luciano, G. Kline, A. Broch, K. Kim, J.m. Namgoong, R. A. Kulkarni, J. L. Meier, P. Kim, M. J. Schnermann, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2018**, *28*, 2741–2745.
- [11] a) D. Su, C. L. Teoh, A. Samanta, N. Y. Kang, S. J. Park, Y. T. Chang, *Chem. Commun.* 2015, *51*, 3989–3992; b) Z. Wu, P. Shao, S. Zhang, M. Bai, *J. Biomed. Opt.* 2014, *19*, 036006; c) H. Lee, J. C. Mason, S. Achilefu, *J. Org. Chem.* 2006, *71*, 7862–7865.
- [12] a) S. van der Wal, J. Kuil, A. R. P. M. Valentijn, F. W. B. van Leeuwen, *Dyes Pigm.* 2016, *132*, 7–19; b) H. Hyun, E. A. Owens, L. Narayana, H. Wada, J. Gravier, K. Bao, J. V. Frangioni, H. S. Choi, M. Henary, *RSC Adv.* 2014, *4*, 58762– 58768.
- [13] a) Y. Ji, Z. Wang, K. Bao, G. K. Park, H. Kang, S. Hu, E. McDonald, M. S. Kim, S. Kashiwagi, H. S. Choi, *Quant. Imaging Med. Surg.* **2019**, *9*, 1548–1555; b) S. J. Spa, A. W. Hensbergen,

www.angewandte.org

© 2020 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 2-10

• These are not the final page numbers!

S. van der Wal, J. Kuil, F. W. B. van Leeuwen, *Dyes Pigm.* **2018**, *152*, 19–28; c) H. J. Gruber, C. D. Hahn, G. Kada, C. K. Riener, G. S. Harms, W. Ahrer, T. G. Dax, H.-G. G. Knaus, *Bioconjugate Chem.* **2000**, *11*, 696–704.

- [14] a) S. Al-Karmi, S. A. Albu, A. Vito, N. Janzen, S. Czorny, L. Banevicius, M. Nanao, J. Zubieta, A. Capretta, J. F. Valliant, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2017, 23, 254–258; b) L. He, W. Lin, Q. Xu, M. Ren, H. Wei, J.-Y. Wang, *Chem. Sci.* 2015, 6, 4530–4536.
- [15] a) C. Ji, W. Cheng, Q. Yuan, K. Mullen, M. Yin, Acc. Chem. Res. 2019, 52, 2266–2277; b) C. Kühne, R. Haag, T. Heek, L. H. Urner, K. Pagel, K. Huth, K. Achazi, J. Dernedde, Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 4849–4862; c) S. Zhu, Q. Yang, A. L. Antaris, J. Yue, Z. Ma, H. Wang, W. Huang, H. Wan, J. Wang, S. Diao, B. Zhang, X. Li, Y. Zhong, K. Yu, G. Hong, J. Luo, Y. Liang, H. Dai, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2017, 114, 962–967; d) V. Wycisk, J. Pauli, P. Welker, A. Justies, U. Resch-Genger, R. Haag, K. Licha, Bioconjugate Chem. 2015, 26, 773–781; e) C. M. S. Yau, S. I. Pascu, S. A. Odom, J. E. Warren, E. J. F. Klotz, M. J. Frampton, C. C. Williams, V. Coropceanu, M. K. Kuimova, D. Phillips, S. Barlow, J. L. Brédas, S. R. Marder, V. Millar, H. L. Anderson, Chem. Commun. 2008, 2897–2899.
- [16] S. Thavornpradit, S. M. Usama, G. K. Park, J. P. Shrestha, S. Nomura, Y. Baek, H. S. Choi, K. Burgess, *Theranostics* 2019, 9, 2856–2867.
- [17] A. Arjona-Esteban, M. Stolte, F. Würthner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 2470–2473; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 2516–2519.
- [18] L. Štacková, P. Štacko, P. Klán, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 7155–7162.
- [19] CCDC 1404767, 1404768 and 1404769 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.
- [20] F. Rüttger, S. Mindt, C. Golz, M. Alcarazo, M. John, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2019, 4791–4796.
- [21] At present, we cannot rule out the possibility that a small fraction of s775z adopts a polyene conformation with one of its methine-methine bonds in a *cis* orientation (this putative conformational exchange would be rapid on the NMR time scale, see reference 20 for further discussion). This minor structural point does not change any conclusions concerning the practical value of s775z.
- [22] A. V. DSouza, H. Lin, E. R. Henderson, K. S. Samkoe, B. W. Pogue, J. Biomed. Opt. 2016, 21, 080901.
- [23] a) H. Hyun, M. W. Bordo, K. Nasr, D. Feith, J. H. Lee, S. H. Kim, Y. Ashitate, L. A. Moffitt, M. Rosenberg, M. Henary, H. S. Choi, J. V. Frangioni, *Contrast Media Mol. Imaging* 2012, *7*, 516–524; b) S. Ohnishi, S. J. Lomnes, R. G. Laurence, A. Gogbashian, G. Mariani, J. V. Frangioni, *Mol. Imaging* 2005, *4*, 172–181.
- [24] S. G. König, R. Krämer, Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 9306-9312.

[25] M. Y. Berezin, K. Guo, W. Akers, J. Livingston, M. Solomon, H. Lee, K. Liang, A. Agee, S. Achilefu, *Biochemistry* 2011, 50, 2691–2700.

Angewandte

Chemie

- [26] Á. Szabó, T. Szendi-Szatmári, L. Ujlaky-Nagy, I. Rádi, G. Vereb, J. Szöllősi, P. Nagy, *Biophys. J.* **2018**, *114*, 688–700.
- [27] J. E. Berlier, A. Rothe, G. Buller, J. Bradford, D. R. Gray, B. J. Filanoski, W. G. Telford, S. Yue, J. Liu, C. Y. Cheung, W. Chang, J. D. Hirsch, J. M. Beechem, R. P. Haugland, R. P. Haugland, J. Histochem. Cytochem. 2003, 51, 1699–1712.
- [28] G. H. KleinJan, A. Bunschoten, N. S. van den Berg, R. A. Valdès Olmos, W. M. C. Klop, S. Horenblas, H. G. van der Poel, H.-J. Wester, F. W. B. van Leeuwen, *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 2016, 43, 1857–1867.
- [29] L. E. Lamberts, M. Koch, J. S. de Jong, A. L. L. Adams, J. Glatz, M. E. G. Kranendonk, A. G. T. Terwisscha van Scheltinga, L. Jansen, J. de Vries, M. N. Lub-de Hooge, C. P. Schröder, A. Jorritsma-Smit, M. D. Linssen, E. de Boer, B. van der Vegt, W. B. Nagengast, S. G. Elias, S. Oliveira, A. J. Witkamp, W. P. T. M. Mali, E. Van der Wall, P. J. van Diest, E. G. E. de Vries, V. Ntziachristos, G. M. van Dam, *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2017, 23, 2730-2742.
- [30] B. Du, X. Jiang, Y. Huang, S. Li, J. C. Lin, M. Yu, J. Zheng, *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2020, 31, 241–247.
- [31] S. K. Shaw, W. Liu, C. F. A. Gómez-Durán, C. L. Schreiber, M. de L. Betancourt-Mendiola, C. Zhai, F. M. Roland, S. J. Padanilam, B. D. Smith, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2018, 24, 13821–13829.
- [32] C. L. Schreiber, C. Zhai, J. M. Dempsey, H. H. Mcgarraugh, B. P. Matthews, C. R. Christmann, B. D. Smith, *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2020, 31, 214–223.
- [33] H. J. M. Handgraaf, M. C. Boonstra, H. A. J. M. Prevoo, J. Kuil, M. W. Bordo, L. S. F. Boogerd, B. G. Sibinga Mulder, C. F. M. Sier, M. L. Vinkenburg-van Slooten, A. R. P. M. Valentijn, J. Burggraaf, C. J. H. van de Velde, J. V. Frangioni, A. L. Vahrmeijer, *Oncotarget* 2017, *8*, 21054–21066.
- [34] K. M. Tichauer, K. S. Samkoe, K. J. Sexton, S. K. Hextrum, H. H. Yang, W. S. Klubben, J. R. Gunn, T. Hasan, B. W. Pogue, *Mol. Imaging Biol.* 2012, 14, 584–592.
- [35] P. J. Yazaki, T. M. Lwin, M. Minnix, L. Li, A. Sherman, J. Molnar, A. Miller, P. Frankel, J. Chea, E. Poku, N. Bowles, R. M. Hoffman, J. E. Shively, M. Bouvet, *J. Biomed. Opt.* **2019**, *24*, 066012.
- [36] a) M. S. Michie, R. Götz, C. Franke, M. Bowler, N. Kumari, V. Magidson, M. Levitus, J. Loncarek, M. Sauer, M. J. Schnermann, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 12406–12409; b) B. Li, L. Lu, M. Zhao, Z. Lei, F. Zhang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 7483–7487; Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 7605–7609.

Manuscript received: March 26, 2020

Accepted manuscript online: April 23, 2020 Version of record online:

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 2-10

www.angewandte.org

These are not the final page numbers!



Research Articles



Research Articles



D.-H. Li, C. L. Schreiber, B. D. Smith* _____

Sterically Shielded Heptamethine Cyanine Dyes for Bioconjugation and High Performance Near-Infrared Fluorescence Imaging



It's a bird! It's a plane! Just like a superhero, an ultrastable shielded heptamethine cyanine dye uses its two strong arms to ward off self-aggregation and non-specific biological interactions. Yet •High fluorescence brightness

High chemical stability

High photostability

No aggregation

•Excellent pharmacokinetics

the arms are short enough to allow dyelabeled bioconjugates to selectively target cell receptors for high-contrast and photon-intense microscopy or tumor imaging in living subjects.

www.angewandte.org

C 2020 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 2-10

These are not the final page numbers!